

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/582,112	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ITO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TAI NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3729	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter Seunghye Park. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Tai van Nguyen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 3/5/2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1-5 and 15-17.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: per phone interview on 3/5/2009. Applicants representative, Mr. Seunghye Park authorized the Examiner to cancel non- elected claims 1-5 and 15-17 in order to place the application in condition for allowance by an Examiner amendment (see attached).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Minh Trinh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729	3/5/09
---	--------